ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag

& The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

Case No - <u>OA 951 OF 2014</u>

ACHYUTANANDA DEY <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
16	For the Applicant : None	
04.04.2018	For the State Respondent: Mr. A.L. Basu Learned Advocate	
	For the Principal Accountant : Mr. Biswanath Mitra General (A&E) West Bengal Departmental Representative	
	The applicant has prayed for direction upon the	
	respondents to pay interest for delayed payment of	
	gratuity of Rs.1,19,117/- (Rupees one lakh nineteen	
	thousand one hundred and seventeen only) for the period	
	from January 31, 2005 to March 27, 2012.	
	It appears from the materials on record that an	
	amount of Rs.1,19,117/- (Rupees one lakh nineteen	
	thousand one hundred and seventeen only) was deducted	
	from the amount of gratuity of the applicant erroneously	
	by the respondents. The applicant moved the Tribunal by	
	filing original application No. 557 of 2010 praying for	
	refund of the amount of Rs.1,19,117/- (Rupees one lakh	
	nineteen thousand one hundred and seventeen only) along	
	with interest. On August 29, 2011 this Tribunal disposed	
	of the said original application No. 557 of 2010 by	
	directing the respondents to refund Rs.1,19,117/- (Rupees	

ORDER SHEET

Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
one lakh nineteen thousand one hundred and seventeen	
only) to the applicant within a period of four months with	
intimation to the office of the Accountant General, West	
Bengal. It is relevant to point out that the Tribunal did not	
give any direction to the respondents to pay interest on the	
amount of Rs.1,19,117/- (Rupees one lakh nineteen	
thousand one hundred and seventeen only), though the	
applicant prayed for payment of interest on the said	
amount of gratuity. Now, the applicant has prayed for	
interest on the said amount of gratuity of Rs.1,19,117/-	
(Rupees one lakh nineteen thousand one hundred and	
seventeen only) for the period from January 31, 2005 to	
March 27, 2012.	
None appears on behalf of the applicant on call.	
Mr. Apurba Lal Basu, Learned Counsel, representing the	
State respondents has referred to the reply filed by the	
State respondents and submitted that the Tribunal did not	
give any direction for payment of interest and as such the	
present application is barred by principle of res judicata.	
Since the issue of interest was raised by the applicant in	
the previous application being original application No.	
557 of 2010 and since the Tribunal did not give any	
direction for payment of interest, we are of the view that	
the said issue was decided on merit in the previous	
original application No. 557 of 2010 and as such the said	
issue cannot be agitated in the present application on the	
	2 one lakh nineteen thousand one hundred and seventeen only) to the applicant within a period of four months with intimation to the office of the Accountant General, West Bengal. It is relevant to point out that the Tribunal did not give any direction to the respondents to pay interest on the amount of Rs.1,19,117/- (Rupees one lakh nineteen thousand one hundred and seventeen only), though the applicant prayed for payment of interest on the said amount of gratuity. Now, the applicant has prayed for interest on the said amount of gratuity of Rs.1,19,117/- (Rupees one lakh nineteen thousand one hundred and seventeen only) for the period from January 31, 2005 to March 27, 2012. None appears on behalf of the applicant on call. Mr. Apurba Lal Basu, Learned Counsel, representing the State respondents has referred to the reply filed by the State respondents and submitted that the Tribunal did not give any direction for payment of interest and as such the present application is barred by principle of res judicata. Since the issue of interest was raised by the applicant in the previous application being original application No. 557 of 2010 and since the Tribunal did not give any direction for payment of interest, we are of the view that the said issue was decided on merit in the previous original application No. 557 of 2010 and as such the said

ORDER SHEET

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	principle of res judicata. Thus, we fully agree with the	
	submission of Mr. Basu that the present application is	
	barred by res judicata. In view of our above findings the	
	applicant is not entitled to get any relief in the present	
	application and as such, the present application is	
	dismissed.	
	Let a plain copy of the order be supplied to the	
	State respondent and to the respondent, Principal	
	Accountant General, West Bengal.	
	(S.K. Das) (R.K. Bag) MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)	
SCN.		